



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,130	03/31/2004	Norbert Steven Parsoncault	8209.053.NPUS01	9169
70165 7590 09/30/2009 SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC C/O NOVAK DRUCE & QUIGG LLP 1000 LOUISIANA, Fifty-Third Floor HOUSTON, TX 77002				
EXAMINER				
KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3656				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/30/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/815,130

**Applicant(s)**

PARSONEAULT ET AL.

**Examiner**

JUSTIN KRAUSE

**Art Unit**

3656

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JUSTIN KRAUSE.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mike Garrabrants.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 24 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Garrabrants called inquiring about the Examiner's Amendment which was previously proposed prior to the Final Rejection. The proposed Examiner's Amendment was faxed to Mr. Garrabrants for review. In response, Mr. Garrabrants proposed an alternative amendment which differs in scope from the Examiner's Amendment proposal and would require further search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Justin Krause/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3656